arXiv:1212.1772v2 [math.AP] 11 Dec 2012

A NOTE ON THE LIFESPAN OF SOLUTIONS TO THE SEMILINEAR DAMPED WAVE EQUATION IN SUBCRITICAL CASES

MASAHIRO IKEDA AND YUTA WAKASUGI

Abstract. In this paper, we give an upper bound of the lifespan of solutions of the semilinear damped wave equation $\Box u + u_t = |u|^p$ with $1 < p < 1 + 2/n$.

1. Introduction

We consider the semilinear damped wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + u_t = |u|^p, \quad (t,x) \in [0,\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^n \tag{1.1}$$

with initial data

$$(u, u_t)(0,x) = \varepsilon(u_0, u_1)(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where u is real-valued unknown function, $1 < p < 1 + 2/n$, $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and ε is a positive small parameter. It is well known that there is a unique solution $u \in C([0,T); H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,T); L^2(\mathbf{R}^n))$ to (1.1) with some $T > 0$ (see for example, [9]). Our aim is to obtain an upper bound of the lifespan of solutions to (1.1).

There are many results for (1.1) about global existence and blow-up of solutions (see [5, 6, 8, 12, 14, 15, 18, 20] and the references therein). We mention that Todorova and Yordanov [20] and Zhang [22] proved that the critical exponent of (1.1) is given by

$$p_F = 1 + \frac{2}{n},$$

which is so called the Fujita exponent (see [3]). Here "critical" means that if $p_c < p$, all small data solutions of (1.1) are global; if $1 < p \le p_c$, the time-local solution cannot be extended time-globally for some data regardless of smallness. For the proof of the blowup results, Todorova and Yordanov [20] used a method of differential inequalities and Zhang [22] used a method of test functions. Their methods are based on a contradiction argument and hence, we cannot apply directly them to obtain estimates of the lifespan.

When $n = 1, 2$, Li and Zhou [12] obtained an upper bound of the lifespan of solutions to (1.1). They proved that

$$T_\varepsilon \le \begin{cases} \exp(a\varepsilon^{-2/n}), & \text{if } p = 1 + 2/n, \\ b\varepsilon^{-1/\kappa}, & \text{if } 1 < p < 1 + 2/n, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $a, b > 0$ and $\kappa = 1/(p-1) - n/2$ for the data $u_0, u_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfying $\int (u_0 + u_1)dx > 0$. Nishihara [16] extended this result to $n = 3$ by using the explicit

---

Key words and phrases. semilinear damped wave equation; lifespan.

formula of the solution to the linear part of (1.1). He proved that the solution u of the linear part of (1.1) with initial data $(0, u_1)$ can be written by

$$u(t,x) = e^{-t/2}W(t)u_1 + J_0(t)u_1,$$

where $W(t)u_1$ is the solution of the free wave equation $\Box u = 0$ with initial data u_1, and $J_0(t)u_1$ behaves like a solution of the heat equation $u_t - \Delta u = 0$. However, their method does not work in the case $n \geq 4$, because in this case the fundamental solution of the free wave equation does not have positivity. Recently, Nishihara [17] obtained the following upper bound:

$$T_\varepsilon \leq \begin{cases} a\varepsilon^{-1/\hat{\kappa}}, & \text{if } 1 < p < 1 + 1/n, \\ e^{b\varepsilon^{-1/n}}, & \text{if } p = 1 + 1/n \end{cases}$$

for all $n \geq 1$, wehre $\hat{\kappa} = 1/(p-1) - n$, $a, b > 0$ and (u_0, u_1) satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u_i(x)dx \geq 0 \quad (i = 0, 1) \qquad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (u_0 + u_1)(x)dx > 0.$$

However, his result seems to be not optimal and there are no results for $n \geq 4$ and $1 + 1/n < p \leq 1 + 2/n$. In this paper we extend these results to all $n \geq 1$ and all $1 < p < 1 + 2/n$.

Our method is based on the results of Kuiper [11] (see also [19], [7]). We refine his methods to fit our problem. To state our results, we prepare some notation and assumptions.

We define the lifespan of the local solution of (1.1) by

$$\begin{aligned} T_\varepsilon := \sup\{\, T \in (0, \infty]; &\text{there exists a unique solution u to (1.1)} \\ &\text{such that } u \in C([0,T); H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0,T); L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)\,)\,\}. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that

$$u_0 + u_1 \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (u_0 + u_1)(x)dx > 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p < 1 + 2/n$ and let $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfy (1.3). Then there exist ε_0 and $C = C(p) > 0$ such that*

$$T_\varepsilon \leq C\varepsilon^{-1/\kappa}$$

for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, where $\kappa = 1/(p-1) - n/2$.

Remark 1.1. *There are also many results about blow-up of solutions to semilinear wave equation with variable coefficients (see [2, 10, 13, 21]). Their proof is based on a test function method of Zhang [22], which uses a contradiction argument and is inapplicable to estimate the lifespan. Nishihara [17] obtained an upper bound of the lifespan in time-dependent damping cases. However, his result seems to be not optimal from the view point of the diffusion phenomenon. Our methods is available to damping terms with variable coefficients. This will be discussed on our forthcoming paper.*

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We first describe the existence of the local solution. For the proof, we refer the reader to [9], for example.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $1 < p \le n/(n-2)$ $(n \ge 3)$, $1 < p < \infty$ $(n = 1, 2)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ *and* $(u_0, u_1) \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$. *Then* $T_\varepsilon > 0$, *that is, there exists a unique solution* $u \in C([0, T_\varepsilon); H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0, T_\varepsilon); L^2(\mathbf{R}^n))$ *to* (1.1). *Moreover, if* $T_\varepsilon < +\infty$, *then it follows that*

$$\lim_{t \to T_\varepsilon} \|(u, u_t)(t, \cdot)\|_{H^1 \times L^2} = +\infty.$$

By the result of [20, 22], it has already known that $T_\varepsilon < \infty$. We give a lower bound of the lifespan.

Lemma 2.2. *There exist* ε_0 *and* $C_0 > 0$ *such that*

$$T_\varepsilon \ge C_0 \varepsilon^{-1/\tilde{\kappa}} \tag{2.1}$$

for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, *where* $\tilde{\kappa} = (p+1)/(p-1) - n/2$.

Proof. Let

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (u_t^2 + |\nabla u|^2) dx.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) \le \frac{1}{p+1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |u|^p u dx.$$

Hence, we have

$$E(t) \le E(0) - \frac{\varepsilon^{p+1}}{p+1} \int |u_0|^p u_0 dx + \frac{1}{p+1} \int |u|^p u dx.$$

Applying the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we obtain

$$E(t) \le C_1 \varepsilon^2 + C \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\theta(p+1)} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{(1-\theta)(p+1)},$$

where $\theta = n(p-1)/(2(p+1))$. Noting that

$$u(t) = \varepsilon u_0 + \int_0^t u_t(\tau) d\tau,$$

we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2} \le \varepsilon \|u_0\|_{L^2} + t \sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} \|u_t(\tau)\|_{L^2}.$$

Thus, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &\le C_1 \varepsilon^2 + C \|\nabla u(t)\|^{\theta(p+1)} \left(\varepsilon \|u_0\| + t \sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} \|u_t(\tau)\| \right)^{(1-\theta)(p+1)} \\ &\le C_1 \varepsilon^2 + C \varepsilon^{(1-\theta)(p+1)} \left(\sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} E(\tau) \right)^{\theta(p+1)/2} + C t^{(1-\theta)(p+1)} \left(\sup_{0 \le \tau \le t} E(\tau) \right)^{(p+1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let T be the first time such that $E(T) = 3C_1 \varepsilon^2$. Then $E(\tau) < 3C_1 \varepsilon^2$ holds for any $0 \le \tau < T$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 3C_1 \varepsilon^2 &\le C_1 \varepsilon^2 + C \varepsilon^{(1-\theta)(p+1)} (3C_1 \varepsilon^2)^{\theta(p+1)/2} + C T^{(1-\theta)(p+1)} (3C_1 \varepsilon^2)^{(p+1)/2} \\ &\le 2C_1 \varepsilon^2 + C T^{(1-\theta)(p+1)} \varepsilon^{p+1}, \end{aligned}$$

provided that ε is sufficiently small. Finally, we have

$$C_1\varepsilon^2 \le CT^{(1-\theta)(p+1)}\varepsilon^{p+1}.$$

This implies

$$C_0\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/((1-\theta)(p+1))} \le T < T_\varepsilon$$

with some constant C_0. □

We introduce a nonnegative smooth function ϕ such that

$$\phi(x)=\phi(|x|), \quad \phi(0)=1, \quad 0<\phi(x)\le 1 \text{ for } x\in\mathbf{R}^n, \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\phi(x)dx=1,$$

and $\phi(x)\to 0$ sufficiently fast as $|x|\to\infty$. Moreover, there exists $\mu>0$ such that

$$|\Delta\phi(x)|\le\mu\phi(x), \quad x\in\mathbf{R}^n \tag{2.2}$$

Such a function is constructed in [1, 4]. This can be done by letting $\phi(r)=Ce^{-r}$ with for large r. Let θ be a positive large parameter and let η be

$$\eta(t)=\begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t\ge T,\\ (1-t/T)^\theta, & \text{if } 0\le t\le T,\end{cases}$$

where $T>0$. We put $\eta_R(t)=\eta(t/R^2)$, $\phi_R(x)=\phi(x/R)$ and $\psi_R(t,x)=\eta_R(t)\phi_R(x)$ with a positive parameter R.

With the test function above, we introduce the following functionals:

$$\begin{aligned} I_R(T) &:= \int_{[0,TR^2)\times\mathbf{R}^n}|u|^p\psi_R dxdt,\\ J_R &:= \varepsilon\int_{\mathbf{R^n}}(u_0+u_1)\phi_R dx\\ A(T) &:= \left(\int_{[0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^n}|\partial_t\eta(t)|^q\eta(t)^{-1/(p-1)}\phi(x)dxdt\right)^{1/q},\\ B(T) &:= \mu\left(\int_{[0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^n}\eta(t)\phi(x)dxdt\right)^{1/q},\\ C(T) &:= \left(\int_{[0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^n}|\partial_t^2\eta(t)|^q\eta(t)^{-1/(p-1)}\phi(x)dxdt\right)^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

where $q=p/(p-1)$ and $TR^2<T_m$. A simple calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} A(T) &= \theta\{\theta-1/(p-1)\}^{-1/q}T^{-1/p}\equiv a_pT^{-1/p},\\ B(T) &= \mu\left(\frac{T}{\theta+1}\right)^{1/q}\equiv b_pT^{1/q},\\ C(T) &= \theta(\theta-1)\{\theta-(p+1)/(p-1)\}^{-1/q}T^{-1/p-1}\equiv c_pT^{-1/p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We take θ sufficiently large so that $a_p, b_p, c_p>0$. Multiplying the equation (1.1) by $\psi_R(t,x)$ and integrating over $[0,TR^2)\times\mathbf{R}^n$, we have

$$I_R(T)+J_R=\int_{[0,TR^2)\times\mathbf{R}^n}u(\partial_t^2\psi_R-\Delta\psi_R-\partial_t\psi_R)dxdt\equiv K_R^1+K_R^2+K_R^3$$

This calculation can be justified by noting the construction of the local solution in [9]. By using the Hölder inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}K_R^1 &\le R^{-4}\int_{[0,TR^2)\times\mathbf{R}^n}|u||\partial_t^2\eta(t/R^2)|\phi_R(x)dxdt\\ &\le R^{-4}I_R(T)^{1/p}\left(\int_{[0,TR^2)\times\mathbf{R}^n}|\partial_t^2\eta(t/R^2)|^q\eta_R(t)^{-1/(p-1)}\phi_R(x)dxdt\right)^{1/q}\\ &= R^{s-2}I_R(T)^{1/p}C(T),\end{aligned}$$

where $s=-2+(n+2)/q$. In the same way, we have

$$K_R^2 \le R^s I_R(T)^{1/p}B(T),$$
$$K_R^3 \le R^s I_R(T)^{1/p}A(T).$$

Therefore, putting

$$D(T,R) := A(T)+B(T)+R^{-2}C(T),$$

we obtain

$$J_R \le R^s D(T,R)I_R(T)^{1/p}-I_R(T).$$

Noting that

$$\max_{x\ge 0}(\sigma x^\omega - x) = (1-\omega)\omega^{\omega/(1-\omega)}\sigma^{1/(1-\omega)}$$

for $\sigma>0$ and $0<\omega<1$, we have

$$J_R \le C_1 R^{sq}D(T,R)^q \tag{2.3}$$

with some constant C_1, which depends only on p.

On the other hand, by the assumption on the data and the Lebesgue convergence theorem, there exists $C_0>0$ and $R_0>0$ such that

$$J_R = \varepsilon\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}(u_0+u_1)(x)\phi_R(x)dx \ge C_0\varepsilon$$

for any $R>R_0$. Let

$$\tau_0 := \max\left(1, \frac{a_p+c_p}{b_p}R_0^2\right).$$

By Lemma 2.2, we may assume $T_\varepsilon > \tau_0$.

Let $\tau\in(\tau_0,T_\varepsilon)$. From this and (2.3) with $T=\tau R^{-2}$, we obtain

$$\varepsilon \le C_2\{R^s D(\tau R^{-2},R)\}^q,$$

for any $\tau\in(\tau_0,T_\varepsilon)$ and $R>R_0$, where $C_2=C_0^{-1}C_1$. Let

$$\tilde{D}(\tau,R) := (a_p+c_p)\tau^{-1/p}R^{2/p}+b_p\tau^{1/q}R^{-2/q}.$$

Then we have $D(\tau R^{-2},R)\le\tilde{D}(\tau,R)$, since $\tau>1$. We define

$$H(\tau,R) := \{R^s\tilde{D}(\tau,R)\}^q = \tau^{-1/(p-1)}\{(a_p+c_p)R^{n/q}+b_p\tau R^{-2+n/q}\}^q.$$

Then one has

$$\varepsilon \le C_2 H(\tau,R) \tag{2.4}$$

for any $\tau\in(L_0,T_\varepsilon)$ and $R>R_0$. We solve the identity

$$(a_p+c_p)R^{n/q} = b_p R^{-2+n/q}\tau,$$

and put

$$R_\tau := \left(\frac{b_p}{a_p + c_p}\right)^{1/2} \tau^{1/2}.$$

Since $\tau \in (\tau_0, T_\varepsilon)$, we have $R_\tau > R_0$. Substituting $R = R_\tau$ into (2.4), we obtain

$$\varepsilon \leq C_2 H(\tau, R_\tau) = C_3 \tau^{-(1/(p-1)-n/2)},$$

where C_3 is a constant depending only on p and the data (u_0, u_1). Finally, we have

$$\tau \leq C_3 \varepsilon^{-1/\kappa}$$

holds for any $\tau \in (\tau_0, T_\varepsilon)$. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgement

The authors are deeply grateful to Professor Tatsuo Nishitani. He gave us helpful advice.

References

[1] M. Chaves and V. A. Galaktionov, *Regional blow-up for a higher-order semilinear parabolic equation*, Europ J. Appl. Math., **12** (2001), 601-623.

[2] M. D'abbicco, S. Lucente, *A modified test function method for damped wave equations*, arXiv:1211.0453v1.

[3] H. Fujita, *On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for* $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec. I, **13** (1966), 109-124.

[4] V. A. Galaktionov and S. I. Pohozaev, *Existence and blow-up for higher-order semilinear parabolic equations: majorizing order-preserving operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **51** (2002), 1321-1038.

[5] N. Hayashi, E. I. Kaikina, P. I. Naumkin, *Damped wave equation with super critical nonlinearities*, Differential Integral Equations, **17** (2004), 637-652.

[6] T. Hosono, T. Ogawa, *Large time behavior and* L^p*-*L^q *estimate of solutions of 2-dimensional nonlinear damped wave equations*, J. Differential Equations, **203** (2004) 82-118.

[7] M. Ikeda, *Lifespan of solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation without gauge invariance*, arXiv:1211.6928v1.

[8] R. Ikehata, Y. Miyaoka, T. Nakatake, *Decay estimates of solutions for dissipative wave equations in* $\mathbf{R}^N$ *with lower power nonlinearities*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **56** (2004), 365-373.

[9] R. Ikehata, K. Tanizawa, *Global existence of solutions for semilinear damped wave equations in* $\mathbf{R}^N$ *with noncompactly supported initial data*, Nonlinear Anal., **61** (2005), 1189-1208.

[10] R. Ikehata, G. Todorova, B. Yordanov, *Critical exponent for semilinear wave equations with space-dependent potential*, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, **52** (2009), 411-435.

[11] H. J. Kuiper, *Life span of nonegative solutions to certain qusilinear parabolic cauchy problems*, Electronic J. Diff. Eqs., **2003** (2003), 1-11.

[12] T. T. Li, Y. Zhou, *Breakdown of solutions to* $\Box u + u_t = |u|^{1+\alpha}$, Discrete Cont. Dynam. Syst. **1** (1995), 503-520.

[13] J. Lin, K. Nishihara, J. Zhai, *Critical exponent for the semilinear wave equation with time-dependent damping*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **32** (2012), 4307-4320.

[14] T. Narazaki, L^p*-*L^q *estimates for damped wave equations and their applications to semilinear problem*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **56** (2004), 585-626.

[15] K. Nishihara, $L^p - L^q$ *estimates of solutions to the damped wave equation in 3-dimensional space and their application*, Math. Z., **244** (2003), 631-649.

[16] K. Nishihara, L^p*-*L^q *estimates for the 3-D damped wave equation and their application to the semilinear problem*, Seminar Notes of Math. Sci., **6**, Ibaraki Univ., 2003, 69-83.

[17] K. Nishihara, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the semilinear wave equation with time-dependent damping*, Tokyo J. Math., **34** (2011), 327-343.

[18] K. Ono, *Global existence and asymptotic behavior of small solutions for semilinear dissipative wave equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **9** (2003), 651-662.

[19] Sun, *Life span of blow-up solutions for higher-order semilinear parabolic equations*, Electronic J. Diff Eqs., **2010** (2010), 1-9.

[20] G. Todorova, B. Yordanov, *Critical exponent for a nonlinear wave equation with damping*, J. Differential Equations, **174** (2001), 464-489.
[21] Y. Wakasugi, *Critical exponent for the semilinear wave equation with scale invariant damping*, arXiv:1211.2900v1.
[22] Qi S. Zhang, *A blow-up result for a nonlinear wave equation with damping: the critical case*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **333** (2001), 109-114.

E-mail address: `m-ikeda@cr.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp`
E-mail address: `y-wakasugi@cr.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp`

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Toyonaka, 560-0043, Japan